The Balanced Score Card in Health Care

- **# Origins and rationale**
- Content
- **# Implementation**
- **K** Issues and challenges
- **Work in progress**

M. Ward Division of Medicine Royal Brisbane Hospital

BSC: Origins

∺A broad-based performance measurement system developed for commercial use (Kaplan & Norton 1993)

Widely used various forms in large commercial and government organisations

#Increasing use by health care industry in USA

BSC : Rationale

 Traditional financial markers are necessary, but not sufficient to measure organisational success
 Methods of linking organisational goals & values with day to day activity are often inadequate - the "vision-reality gap"

Better measures often needed of "intangible assets" eg staff knowledge and skills

BSC : Rationale

∺The "vision-reality gap" - evidence of the problem from industry surveys :

Only 57 % senior management and 7% of middle managers and operational staff know how to translate organisational visions and goals into practice (Kaplan 93)

BSC : An aeronautical analogy

An aircraft instrument panel contains more than a fuel gauge

Xarious other instruments and warning lights are usually considered essential- eg : GPS/compass, altimeter, airspeed indicator, mileage traveled etc

₭ If you only have a fuel gauge, it may be difficult to avoid mountains or arrive at the right airport

BSC : Content - 4 standard dimensions

Client / customer How do we look to our customers ?
 Service quality, customer satisfaction, return business
 Internal operations How efficient / effective are we ?
 Volume / rate / cost of production

Learning *Do our staff have the knowledge and skills they need now and for the future ?*

○ Work practice protocols / innovation, research, education

#Financial *How do we look to our funders ?*

Budget - expenditure variances, return on investment

BSC : The 5th dimension - staff

Staff : How do we look to our staff ?

- └──Workplace climate surveys
- **⊡**Turnover
- Unfilled vacancies
- Number of appropriately skilled applicants for key positions
- Sick leave

BSC in health care

Representation of the second second

Outcomes, mortality, adverse events, satisfaction surveys, waiting times, complaints:compliments ratios

Content of the set of

Weighted separations, average length of stay, occasions of service, occupied bed days etc

Example 2 Learning : Do our staff have the right knowledge ?
Workplace innovation, publications, presentations, teaching

#Financial : *How do we look to our funders ?*

BSC: health care experience

Harvard Business Review Nov-Dec 2000

△1996 to 2000

 \bigcirc Cost per case reduced by 12%

△ Average length of stay reduced by 22%

△ Patient satisfaction increased by 8%

KNB : BSC <u>and</u> pathways & change management

BSC : Implementation

#Obtain commitment from senior management

Recruit key clinicians / service delivery staff

- Demonstrate the relevance of the measures to their work
- ☐ Involve them in the choice of measures
- Develop data collection and analysis infrastructure

Progress from snapshots to serial measurements for trend lines and control charts

Measurement issues

KLag vs Lead vs Control

Goal = Balanced budget □

- Lag indicator = Expenditure over-run
- Lead indicator = Trend in drug costs
- Control indicator = Volume /type of prescriptions
- **#**Frequency of measurement
 - Monthly : activity / finance
 - ☐Quarterly : quality of care / innovations

RBH - Current use

#All Divisions now using balanced score cards for monthly reports to Hospital Executive

₩Well accepted by departmental heads

Departments within Divisions in process of building local versions to roll up into divisional score card

Division of Medicine - restructured management advisory group agenda and filing system around BSC format

Issues and challenges

Who are the customers ?
The data !! - content and processes
Public sector reverse cycle risks
Integrating the BSC with the:
Quality Improvement Cycle
Business planning process

BSC : Who are the customers ?

%The patient ?
%The referring doctor ?
%The Health Dept ?
%The Government ?
%The taxpayer ?
%All of the above ?

Data issues

#Limited central data set **H** Identifying relevant local data **#**Finding suitable benchmarks / targets Herging central and local data \mathbf{H} Automating the task **#**Checking validity and meaning \Re Cost of collection vs utility of information Statistical mind sets : trends vs t-tests

	▲◇ り・ロ・ & ♥			
<u> </u>				
loyal Brisbane I	Hospital			4
lease Select Medica	Division Reporting Sp	ecialty		
		1998 en 1997 - e		
0	F		Endocrinology	
Cardiology	Convalesence	Dermatology	Endocrinology	
Gastroenterology	General Medicine	Geriatrics	Immunology	
	Liver	Neurology	Stroke	
Infectious Diseases	Liver	меционоду	JUOKE	
Renal	Respiratory Medicine	Rheumatology		
			Al .	
	Medical Division	Summan		
	meurcar broston	Summary		
	2			

] Eile Edit ⊻iew Insert Fo	ermat <u>T</u> ools <u>E</u>	ata <u>W</u> indow	Help							1
D 🚅 🖬 🖨 🖪 🖤 🗌	X 🖻 🛍 <	1 10 + 0	🚳 🦉	Σ f * Å	ZI 🛍 1	2 🚯 100%	- 😨			
Arial 🔹 12	• B I	1	100		20 佳 1					
A1161 👻	=		~ <u></u>	- 200 g - 200						
A	1 C	Е	F	G		J	К		M	N
163 Activity	-1 · ·									1
Performance	Number	Quota for	Variance	Number	Quota	Variance	Full Year)		
164 Measure	for Month	Month	Month	YTD	YTD	YTD	Quota	Comments		
165 Acute Separations				1						
166 Same Day	1,493			5,649						
168 Non-Sameday	609			2,551						
170 Elective	1,547			5,842						
172 Emergency	555			2,358						
174 Total	2,102	1,931	171	8,200	7,752	448	22,873		1	
176 Acute Weighted Seps	0	10.		8,451	42 G		5.9			
178 Acute Beddays	6,967	6,436	531	28,039	25,963	2,076	74,853		1	
180 Outpatient OOS	3,532			11,400						
182 Quality	4) 4)	64	10 90 12 12 12	97. 198	2	0 90)				
Performance	Result for	Target	Variance	Result	Target	Variance	Full Year	Benchmark (if		
183 Measure	Month	for Month	Month	YTD	YTD	YTD	Target	appropriate)	Comments	
184								5 82925 and 00		
185										
186	4	87		2		2			3	
188 Access										
Performance	Result for	Target	Variance	Result	Target	Variance	Full Year	Benchmark (if	144 million 144	
189 Measure	Month	for Month	Month	YTD	YTD	YTD	Target	appropriate)	Comments	
190										
191										
192										
194 Efficiency			r st	4				0	1	
Performance	Result for	Target	Variance	Result	Target	Variance	Full Year	Benchmark (if	(I)	10
195 Measure		for Month	Month	YTD	YTD	YTD	Target	appropriate)	Comments	

rosoft Excel - Medicine.XLS [Read-			- 8
ile Edit View Insert Format Iools D			_ 0
🖻 🖬 🎒 🖪 🔍 👗 🖻 🛍 🔇	🍠 ロ・ロ・ 🤮 🏶 🏾 🍂 🛃 🛍	. 🖤 🚜 100% 💌 🕼	
▼ 10 ▼ B /	⊻ 〒三 〒 璽 \$ % , % ☆ 倖	🎼 🔚 • 🔕 • 🛕 •	
A802 💌 =			
Devel Driebane Llean	ital Division of Medicine	Return to	
Koyai Brisbane Hosp	ital - Division of Medicine	Menu	
Division Summany			
Division Summary			
Activity Graphs			
	Inpatients	Outpatients	
By specialty	By Month		
Actual Seps	By Month Actual Seps		
	and proceedings.	Clinic Waiting Times	
Actual Seps Weighted Seps	Actual Seps Weighted Seps	Clinic Waiting Times	
Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index	Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index		
Actual Seps Weighted Seps	Actual Seps Weighted Seps	Clinic Waiting Times	
Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index	Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps	Clinic Waiting Times	
Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps	Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index	Clinic Waiting Times	
Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps Occupied Beddays Average Length of Stay	Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps Occupied Beddays	Clinic Waiting Times	
Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps Occupied Beddays Average Length of Stay Public Seps	Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps Occupied Beddays Average Length of Stay Public Seps	Clinic Waiting Times	
Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps Occupied Beddays Average Length of Stay	Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps Occupied Beddays Average Length of Stay	Clinic Waiting Times	
Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps Occupied Beddays Average Length of Stay Public Seps Private Seps	Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps Occupied Beddays Average Length of Stay Public Seps Private Seps	Clinic Waiting Times	
Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps Occupied Beddays Average Length of Stay Public Seps Private Seps Emergency Seps	Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps Occupied Beddays Average Length of Stay Public Seps Private Seps Emergency Seps	Clinic Waiting Times	
Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps Occupied Beddays Average Length of Stay Public Seps Private Seps	Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps Occupied Beddays Average Length of Stay Public Seps Private Seps	Clinic Waiting Times	
Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps Occupied Beddays Average Length of Stay Public Seps Private Seps Emergency Seps	Actual Seps Weighted Seps Casemix Index Actual V's Quota Seps Occupied Beddays Average Length of Stay Public Seps Private Seps Emergency Seps	Clinic Waiting Times	



Assumptions about BSC linkages (in good times)



Public sector in hard times

- risks of putting the engine in reverse



Integrating with the CQI cycle

%The PDSA cycle simplified :

- <u>Planning</u>: What are we trying to improve ?
- Measurement : How will we know when we've done it ?
- Implementation : How do we change things

Work in progress

 Planning : What are we trying to achieve ?
 RBH : Clinical Service Development Group
 Quality Improvement and Enhancement Program (QIEP) : Clinician Led Management
 QIEP - Medical Quality Processes (MQP)
 Guidelines web site and network

Work in progress

% Measurement : How will we know when we've
done it ?

- **○**QIEP-MQP
 - **Clinical Indicators**
 - **⊠IT/IM** support
 - "Teleform"
 - PDA database



Balanced Score Card

Balanced Score Card Generator

Work in progress

#Implementation "*What do we need to change ?"*

Clinical Support Systems Project

☑Implementation of evidence based practice in heart failure and coronary thrombosis

Clinical Service Improvement Collaborative

△RBH Clinical Service Development Group

⊠Innovation's /project management

- Medical Assessment and planning unit
- Medical Day Procedure Unit

Clinical Service Improvement Collaborative

Built on existing MQP and other networks
 Voluntary membership
 Confidential sharing of sensitive information
 Information exchange / integration
 Collegiate learning model
 Health Round Table benchmarking model

Work in progress : Balanced Score Card Manager

#Objectives:

☐Integrate central and local data

Single validated source

⊠DSS / Transition II etc

Reduce data collection / analysis burden

∺ Hypothesis

One data structure meets many needs

Balanced Score Card Manager Structure



Balanced Score Card Manager Hypothesis



Balanced Score Card Management System (BSCMS)

📰 Main Switchboa	rd	
Balance	d Score Card Management System	
	Business planning Balanced score card Reports File maintenance Exit	

BSCMS - Business Planning

	📾 B Plan Selector : Form	×
	Selection Criteria for Business Planning	
Balanced Scor		
Plannin Score Return	Cal GASTROENTEROLOGY Screen	

BSCMS - Business Planning

R R Plan Selector - Fo	utins.		اب ر		
wner_Issues_Actions BSC	DIVISI	DN			
Issue		BSC	Туре	Int/Ext:	Rep
Clinical Services Development Group		Learning	Achievement	All Internal	Yes
Internal / external service agreements		Activties	Need - Communic:	Internal=Exterr	Yes
Divisional / Departmental MAG structure / pro	cesses	Activties	Need - Organisatic	All Internal	Yes
Staff development / management training		Learning	Need - Skill/Educa	All Internal	No
Concerns re ALOS in some DRGS		Activties	Need - Staff	Internal=Exterr	Yes
New bed allocations / nursing workforce		Activties	Risk - Costs	Internal=Exterr	Yes
Drug budget impact / growth		Finance	Risk - Costs	Mostly Externa	Yes
Record: 14 4 8 5 11	I				Ľ
Actions Action	Act Da	ate By	Status	Capital	
Campaign to all staff groups and monitoring		2001 Ward		Сарна	
Cohort study		2001 Vvaru, 2001 Levy,		s.	0.00
Profiling physicians		2001 2009,0 2001 Ward,		110	0.00
Record: II I I I I I I I R of 3	1			•	Þ
ord: II I I II II II II III III III IIII IIII					

BSCMS - Targets / Templates

35	C targets-template	F	RENAL					
	Measurement	Staff	BSC Type	Subtype:	Interval:	Benchm	Bench	
Ø	FTE - Total	All,	Activties	None	Annual	Last y	0.00	
	Beds - Non Acute overnight	All,	Activties	None	Total	Quota	8.00	
	Separations - Acute (excl. same day)	All,	Activties	None	Annual	Quota	492.00	
_	Separations - Acute same day	All,	Activties	None	Annual	Quota)927.00	
	Occupied bed days -acute	All,	Activties	None	Annual	Quota	1440.00	
	Occupied Bed Days Acute Same Day	All,	Activties	None	Annual	Quota)927.00	
	Beds - Acute Overnight	All,	Activties	None	Total	Quota	10.00	
	Beds - Acute Same day	All,	Activties	None	Total	Quota	22.00	
-	FTE - Medical	All,	Activties	None	Annual	Budge [,]	0.00	
	FTE - Nursing	All,	Activties	None	Annual	Budge [,]	0.00	
	FTE - Admin	a de c	Activties	None	Annual	Budge ⁻	0.00	
	FTE - Operational	0	Activties	None	Annual	Budge [,]	0.00	
	Budget - Allocation	10	Activties	None	Annual	Budae [.]	0.00	-
~	cord: 1 1 1 1 16	ed) 📢	<u>•</u>					<u> </u>

BSCMS - Select from Score card log

& Balanced Score Card			_ 8 ×
Eile Edit View Insert Format Records Tools Windo	ow <u>H</u> elp		
🔟 • 🖬 🖨 🖪 🖤 👗 🖻 🛍 🚿 🗠 🭕	🔮 🛃 🛃 😼 🖻	7 🗛 🖂 🖬 🛅 🛛 📿	
-			
Balanced score card genera	ator		-
🖾 BSC Log Selector : Form			×
Selection Cri	iteria for Balanced S	Score Card Log	
Select Department	Select Year	Select Month	
	1999 <u></u>	January 🔺	
DERMATOLOGY	2001	March	
	2002	April May	
Select Staff			
All,	T Output —		
Allworth,A	Preview	Go Exit	
Appaddurai,K Askew,A	C Printer		
Atherton I	J		
BSC_gen : BOX			
Form View			

BSCMS - Score card log output

		wner_BSC L	og]				
Eile Edit View		terrete en la companya de la company Esta de la companya de			Ŕ.		
• @ P C		100%	Close	• 🗗 🔄 📿		_	_
-		0					
Balan	ced	Sco	re Ca	rd			
Owner	Year	Month	Staff	BSC Type	Measurement	Bench-value	Curr-value
Owner INFECTIOU	5.777	Month March	Staff All,	BSC Type Activties	Measurement FTE - YTD Total	Bench-value	Curr-value 57.39
	2001		10000				
INFECTIOU	2001 2001	March	A11,	Activties	FTE - YTD Total	54.09 290865.00	57.39
INFECTIOU INFECTIOU	2001 2001 2001	March March	A11, A11,	Activties Finance	FTE - YTD Total Expenditure Actual	54.09 290865.00	57.39 309389.80
INFECTIOU INFECTIOU INFECTIOU	2001 2001 2001 2001 2001	March March March	Al1, Al1, Al1,	Activti es Finance Activti es	FTE - YTD Total Expenditure Actual	54.09 290865.00 5.00	57.39 309389.80 13.00
INFECTIOU INFECTIOU INFECTIOU INFECTIOU	2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001	March March March March	All, All, All, All, All,	Activti es Finance Activti es Activti es	FTE - YTD Total Expenditure Actual Beds - Acute Overnig	54.09 290865.00 5.00 2603717.00	57.39 309389.80 13.00 2861602.00
INFECTIOU INFECTIOU INFECTIOU INFECTIOU INFECTIOU	2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001	March March March March March	All, All, All, All, All, All,	Activti es Finance Activti es Activti es Activti es	FTE - YTD Total Expenditure Actual Beds - Acute Overnig Separations - Total	54.09 290865.00 5.00 2603717.00 30.00	57.39 309389.80 13.00 2861602.00 33.00
INFECTIOU INFECTIOU INFECTIOU INFECTIOU INFECTIOU INFECTIOU	2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001	March March March March March March	All, All, All, All, All, All, All,	Activti es Finance Activti es Activti es Activti es Activti es	FTE - YTD Total Expenditure Actual Beds - Acute Overnig Separations - Total Av. LOS - all	54.09 290865.00 5.00 2603717.00 30.00 5.16 14.00	57.39 309389.80 13.00 2861602.00 33.00 12.64

Balanced Score Card Manager - Output



6/12 data 2000 HRT benchmark - (-ve = better than HRT)

Balanced Score Card Manager - Output



6/12 data 2000 HRT benchmark - (+ve > HRT)

Lessons learnt

Heasure the performance of the teams that are measuring performance

- Herefore the second sec
- **Clarify and reinforce the link between:**

Measure performance of teams

- Hevel 1 Meetings held
- Hereit 2 Measurements made but no change
- Hevel 3 Modest improvements
- Hevel 4 Significant gains
- Hevel 5 Equal to best practice

Keys to clinician support

- ∺Recruit by letting them identify the local problem to be solved
- Build on existing "collegiate" clinician networks
- Use preferred learning methods/ peer review



Hore Balanced Score Card is valuable for Measuring and addressing the "vision-reality gap" Monitoring a broad range of activities Inking business and clinical management objectives \Re It is easily adapted for the health care environment provided : ☐ High level organisational commitment There is local ownership of relevant data / indicators

△ Adequate IT/IM support



∺ Major challenges are :

- Developing integrated / automated data collection and analysis systems
- Capturing and maintaining clinician commitment
- Linking problems with measurements and measurements with implementation of relevant improvements